

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 325800-449 SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | | | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT L.I

18N2/0711

ELLIOT M CLSTEIN
CARELLA BYRNE BAIN GILFILLAN CECCHI
STEWART & OLSTEIN
6 BECKER FARM ROAD
ROSELAND NJ 07068

13

EXAMINER		
BASHAM, D		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
1812	19	

DATE MAILED:

07/11/97

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this applic COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a) is extended to run 4 ~ ° 5 or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six mon	of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no ths from the date of the final rejection,
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the d purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period.	ate of the response and also the date for the the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
[] Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 66.12.97 has been conside to place the application in condition for allowance:	ered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered an	nd the final rejection stands because:
<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed a presented.</li> </ul>	mendment is necessary and was not earlier
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search	n. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by n appeal.</li> </ul>	naterially reducing or simplifying the Issues for
e. [ ] They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.
the non-allowable claims.	innt having 54 to sepurate the known or predicted in precipitate a 112 4th my or firm mitted in a separately fled amendment cancelling
<ol> <li>Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will n be as follows:</li> </ol>	ot be entered and the status of the claims will .
Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 21 - 55  However;	JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does does not define the polygophics. Greated by the remoter of composition is defined Structurally.  5. The affidavit, exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown goo presented.	a by fisher with a see the
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examin	er.
to the appropriate reference molecule as	a limitation (eg, SEA IONO: 2)
PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)	(
the section of the se	The same of the sa